

Schubart,

From: Doug_Geyser@omb.eop.gov
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2002 2:11 PM
To: Meoli, Nicki
Cc: axt, kathy; carey, sheila; ingalls, katrina; oleinick, lew; schubart, joe; utz, jon
Subject: RE: NOA 1845-0014



pic32345.pcx

Hi Nicki,

After discussing the package with others in the office, we determined that a 2 year clearance would be more appropriate in order to spur ED to implement the rest of the electronic submission capability. Shortening clearance is fairly standard in such instances where the agency has not yet implemented full electronic submission. Since this seemed like a rather straightforward matter, I did not mention it during passback (i.e., there were no questions to be asked— electronic submission is feasible, but it has not been completed).

If you have additional questions or would like further clarification, feel free to contact me today, though I'll probably be leaving at around 4 PM. Also, this is my last day at OMB, so in the future please contact Lauren Wittenberg about this package.

Thanks,
Doug

(Embedded

image moved "Meoli, Nicki" <Nicki.Meoli@ed.gov>
to file: 12/06/2002 01:29:36 PM
pic32345.pcx)

Record Type: Record

To: Doug [Geyser/OMB/EOP@EOP](mailto:Doug_Geyser/OMB/EOP@EOP)

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
Subject: RE: NOA 1845-0014

Doug—We requested a three-year clearance on the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program Repayment Plan Selection Form (1845-0014). The NOA below indicates an expiration date of 11/30/2004 instead of 11/30/2005. When you called me on 11/22/2002 with follow up questions you did not indicate that there was a problem with honoring our request for a three-year clearance, so I'm assuming that a mistake has been made on the NOA. Can you please correct and reissue the NOA? Thanks. Nicki

-----Original Message-----

Schubart, Joe

From: Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2002 2:42 PM
To: Meoli, Nicki
Cc: Doug_Geyser@omb.eop.gov; axt, kathy; carey, sheila; ingalls, katrina; oleinick, lew; schubart, joe; utz, jon
Subject: RE: NOA 1845-0014

Doug tells me that he replied to you and explained why he gave a 2-year instead of a 3-year clearance. I agreed with his judgement before he cleared the package. It shouldn't be overly burdensome for you to come back in a year early—you'd have to do it anyway once you made the changes that we requested. This just assures that you make the changes in a timely manner.

Schubart, Joe

From: Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2002 3:50 PM
To: Meoli, Nicki
Cc: Doug_Geyser@omb.eop.gov; axt, kathy; carey, sheila; ingalls, katrina; oleinick, lew; schubart, joe; utz, jon
Subject: RE: NOA 1845-0014

Nicki—we've talked more about it over here... I know the clearance process is somewhat burdensome on you guys, but we really do think that a 2 year clearance gives you sufficient time to try to resolve this issue and to come back to us with solutions. If you just want to reclear the same form for an extra year at that point, and not go thru the whole process of looking closely at changes to the form (especially if the electronic form will be ready in 3 years, but not 2) then you can come in with the same package and ask for 1 year additional clearance time. That way, the only real burden will be the FR notices and the update on the electronic issue. Hopefully in 2 years time, you'll be able to make the changes overall and will then be able to get a full 3-year clearance.