which began on January 1, 2001 and extends through December 31, 2001.

Effective on September 12, 2001, you are directed to reduce the current limit for Category 350 to 759,015 dozen [1], as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 01–22631 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–S

[1] The limit has not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Policy Board Advisory Group; Meeting

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Policy Board will meet in closed session on September 19 and 20, 2001. the mission of the Defense Policy Board is to provide the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Policy with independent, informed advice and opinion concerning major matters of defense policy. At this meeting, the Board will hold classified discussions on national security matters.

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App II (1982)), it has been determined that the committee meeting concerns matters sensitive to the interest of national security, listed in 5 U.S.C. 552B(c)(1)(1982). Accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public

DATES: September 19 and 20, 2001, 0800–1800.

ADDRESSES: The Pentagon, Washington, DC

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lauren Haber, OUSD (Policy), 703–697–0286

Dated: August 31, 2001.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01–22573 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group; Meeting

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group met in closed session on September 5, 2001. The Committee was established to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense on advancing a strong, secure, and persuasive U.S. force for freedom and progress in the world, and to do so at the lowest nuclear force level consistent with security requirements.

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended [5 U.S.C. App. II (1982)], it has been determined that the committee meeting concerns matters sensitive to the interest of national security, listed in 5 U.S.C. 552B(c)(1)(1982) and accordingly this meeting was closed to the public.

This notice is being published in less than the 15 days required by law, due to the urgent need for this committee to continue its activities so that its advice on a matter of extraordinary importance may be provided to the Secretary of Defense in a timely manner.

DATES: September 5, 2001, 1:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Pentagon, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lauren Haber, OUSD (Policy), 703–697–0286.

Dated: August 31, 2001.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01–22574 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

U.S. Strategic Command Strategic Advisory Group; Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Defense, USSTRATCOM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Strategic Advisory Group (SAG) will meeting closed session on October 4 and 5, 2001. The mission of the SAG is to provide timely advice on scientific, technical, and policy-related issues to the Commander in Chief, U.S. Strategic Command, during the development of the nation's strategic war plans. At this meeting, the SAG will discuss strategic issues that relate to the development of the Single Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP). Full development of the topic will require discussion of information classified in accordance with Executive Order 12958, April 17, 1995. Access to this information must be strictly limited to personnel having requisite security clearances and specific need-to know. Unauthorized disclosure of the information to be discussed at the SAG meeting could have exceptionally grave impact upon national defense.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, (5 U.S.C. App 2), it has been determined that this SAG meeting concerns matters listed in 5 USC 552b(c) and that, accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: August 31, 2001.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Office, DoD.

[FR Doc. 01–22575 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 9, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed

information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: September 4, 2001.

John Tressler,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: New.

Title: Evaluation of the Projects with Industry (PWI) Program.

Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 462

Burden Hours: 540

Abstract: The evaluation of the PWI Program will provide the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) and other federal officials with information needed to assess the extent to which Program purposes are being fulfilled. The data obtained will also enable RSA to identify the impact of recent regulatory changes on the Program and to determine the ongoing utility of, and need for revisions to, the Program's compliance indicators and performance indicators under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA). Respondents to information requests will include PWI staff, local Vocational Rehabilitation agency staff, Business Advisory Council members, employers of former PWI participants, local workforce investment board members, and staff of local one-stop job centers.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from *http://edicsweb.ed.gov*, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address *OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov* or faxed to 202–708–9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Sheila Carey at (202) 708–6287 or via her internet address *Sheila.Carey@ed.gov.* Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 01–22594 Filed 9–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2069–006]

Arizona Public Service Company; Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

September 4, 2001.

On August 1, 2001, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) filed a petition for a declaratory order regarding the Offer of Settlement and Settlement Agreement (settlement) filed September 15, 2000, and currently pending before the Commission in the relicensing proceeding for the Childs Irving Project No. 2069. The settlement, which was signed by APS, the intervenors in the relicensing proceeding, and several non-intervenors, provides, among other things, that APS will cease generation at the project no later than December 31, 2004, will surrender the project license and decommission the project site, and will complete project decommissioning no later than December 31, 2009. The settlement also provides that, in the event of a Commission order that alters any of its essential terms, the settlement shall become null and void, and the relicensing proceeding shall be restored.

APS requests that the Commission issue a declaratory order determining whether the process contemplated by the settlement is acceptable. Specifically, APS seeks confirmation that the Commission will allow the parties to the settlement to return to the pre-settlement status quo if the Commission modifies the settlement or if the objectives of the settlement, including license surrender and project decommissioning, are not achieved. By this, APS means, in particular, that the Commission will retain or reinstate its relicense application and process it without providing a new opportunity for the filing of competitive license applications. APS also seeks confirmation that, if the Commission accepts the surrender of the license, it will allow the surrender to be effective at a future date so that generation may continue until December 31, 2004. In this regard, APS requests the Commission to confirm that it would exercise its authority to issue annual licenses during the pendency of the surrender application and until the deadline for the cessation of generation. The petition does not request the Commission to take a position on the merits of the settlement.

Because the relief sought by the petition could have implications for other proceedings in which similar issues occur, the Commission encourages comments from any interested entities, not just those involved in this particular proceeding. The Commission would particularly welcome comments that address whether it should be willing to retain or reinstate relicense applications that are conditional upon the occurrence of other events, especially when those events are contemplated by settlements submitted during relicensing proceedings. The Commission would also welcome comments that address whether, and under what conditions, it should provide additional opportunities for entities to seek licenses to operate a project if an incumbent licensee that has filed an application for a new license subsequently seeks, conditionally or unconditionally, to surrender its existing license before the new license has been issued. Also, the Commission would welcome comments that address the extent to which it should allow the effectiveness of a license surrender to be postponed, and whether it should be willing to issue annual licenses for an extended period of time until project generation ceases or until the project is fully decommissioned.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the petition should file comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211 and 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests and other comments, but only those who file a motion to intervene may become parties to the proceeding. Comments, protests, or motions to